

On high dimensional data analysis in case of no sparsity

Silvelyn Zwanzig

Uppsala University, Sweden, silvelyn.zwanzig@math.uu.se

Keywords: high-dimensional, p larger than n , lasso, ridge

Consider a data set

$$(\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{X}) = \begin{pmatrix} Y_1 & X_{1,1} & \cdots & X_{p,1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ Y_n & X_{1,n} & \cdots & X_{p,n} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (1)$$

When

$$p > n \text{ or even } p \gg n,$$

the data are high-dimensional. A formal relationship between \mathbf{Y} and \mathbf{X} to be considered is through the linear model

$$\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X}\beta_0 + \epsilon, \quad (2)$$

where $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^p$ is the parameter vector, $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is the error vector of i.i.d. elements assumed to follow certain distribution with $E(\epsilon) = \mathbf{0}$. The most frequently adopted route to tackle the problem of high-dimensionality is regularization by which a penalty term, $\text{pen}(\beta)$, is added to the least-squares criterion. The regularized least-squares objective function is

$$\min_{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^p} \left[\|\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}\beta\|^2 + \lambda \text{pen}(\beta) \right], \quad (3)$$

where the *tuning parameter* λ controls the intensity of penalization. The ridge estimator uses L_2 norm as the penalty. LASSO combines least-squares L_2 loss with L_1 penalty. There now exist many variants of original LASSO with different penalty terms. In literature the basic idea is to set a condition by which not all covariates are needed, although it is unknown which of them can be deleted. The true parameter $\beta_0 = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_p)^T$ satisfies sparsity condition when

$$\|\beta_0\| = \sum_{j=1}^p |\beta_j| = o\left(\sqrt{\frac{n}{\log(p)}}\right). \quad (4)$$

In the talk the least squares estimator and different penalized estimators are compared under non-sparsity.