### A Skewed Look at Bivariate and Multivariate Order Statistics

Prof. N. Balakrishnan

Dept. of Mathematics & Statistics McMaster University, Canada

bala@mcmaster.ca







#### Roadmap

- 1. Order Statistics
- 2. Skew-Normal Distribution
- 3. OS from BVN Distribution
- 4. Generalized Skew-Normal Distribution
- 5. OS from TVN Distribution
- 6. OS Induced by Linear Functions
- 7. OS from BV and TV t Distributions
- 8. Bibliography

#### **Order Statistics**

Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be *n* independent identically distributed (IID) random variables from a popln. with cumulative distribution function (cdf) F(x) and an absolutely continuous probability density function (pdf) f(x).

#### **Order Statistics**

- Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be *n* independent identically distributed (IID) random variables from a popln. with cumulative distribution function (cdf) F(x) and an absolutely continuous probability density function (pdf) f(x).
- If we arrange these X<sub>i</sub>'s in increasing order of magnitude, we obtain the so-called order statistics, denoted by

$$X_{1:n} \leq X_{2:n} \leq \cdots \leq X_{n:n},$$

which are clearly dependent.

• Using multinomial argument, we readily have for  $r = 1, \cdots, n$ 

$$\Pr\left(x < X_{r:n} \le x + \delta x\right) \\ = \frac{n!}{(r-1)!(n-r)!} \left\{F(x)\right\}^{r-1} \left\{F(x+\delta x) - F(x)\right\} \\ \times \left\{1 - F(x+\delta x)\right\}^{n-r} + O\left((\delta x)^2\right).$$

Using multinomial argument, we readily have for  $r = 1, \cdots, n$ 

$$\Pr\left(x < X_{r:n} \le x + \delta x\right) \\ = \frac{n!}{(r-1)!(n-r)!} \left\{F(x)\right\}^{r-1} \left\{F(x+\delta x) - F(x)\right\} \\ \times \left\{1 - F(x+\delta x)\right\}^{n-r} + O\left((\delta x)^2\right).$$

From this, we obtain the pdf of  $X_{r:n}$  as (for  $x \in \mathbf{R}$ )

$$f_{r:n}(x) = \frac{n!}{(r-1)!(n-r)!} \left\{ F(x) \right\}^{r-1} \left\{ 1 - F(x) \right\}^{n-r} f(x).$$

Similarly, we obtain the joint pdf of  $(X_{r:n}, X_{s:n})$  as (for  $1 \le r < s \le n$  and x < y)

$$f_{r,s:n}(x,y) = \frac{n!}{(r-1)!(s-r-1)!(n-s)!} \{F(x)\}^{r-1} f(x) \\ \times \{F(y) - F(x)\}^{s-r-1} \{1 - F(y)\}^{n-s} f(y).$$

Similarly, we obtain the joint pdf of  $(X_{r:n}, X_{s:n})$  as (for  $1 \le r < s \le n$  and x < y)

$$f_{r,s:n}(x,y) = \frac{n!}{(r-1)!(s-r-1)!(n-s)!} \{F(x)\}^{r-1} f(x) \\ \times \{F(y) - F(x)\}^{s-r-1} \{1 - F(y)\}^{n-s} f(y).$$

From the pdf and joint pdf, we can derive, for example, means, variances and covariances of order statistics, and also study their dependence structure.

Similarly, we obtain the joint pdf of  $(X_{r:n}, X_{s:n})$  as (for  $1 \le r < s \le n$  and x < y)

$$f_{r,s:n}(x,y) = \frac{n!}{(r-1)!(s-r-1)!(n-s)!} \{F(x)\}^{r-1} f(x) \\ \times \{F(y) - F(x)\}^{s-r-1} \{1 - F(y)\}^{n-s} f(y).$$

- From the pdf and joint pdf, we can derive, for example, means, variances and covariances of order statistics, and also study their dependence structure.
- The area of order statistics has a long and rich history, and a very vast literature.

Some key references are the books by

Some key references are the books by

H.A. David (1970, 1981)

- B. Arnold & N. Balakrishnan (1989)
- N. Balakrishnan & A.C. Cohen (1991)
- B. Arnold, N. Balakrishnan & H.N. Nagaraja (1992)
- N. Balakrishnan & C.R. Rao (1998 a,b)
- H.A. David & H.N. Nagaraja (2003)

Some key references are the books by

- H.A. David (1970, 1981)
- B. Arnold & N. Balakrishnan (1989)
- N. Balakrishnan & A.C. Cohen (1991)
- B. Arnold, N. Balakrishnan & H.N. Nagaraja (1992)
- N. Balakrishnan & C.R. Rao (1998 a,b)

H.A. David & H.N. Nagaraja (2003)

However, most of the literature on order statistics have focused on the independent case, and very little on the dependent case.

#### **Skew-normal Distribution**

The skew-normal distribution has pdf

 $\varphi(x) = 2 \Phi(\lambda x)\phi(x), \quad x \in R, \quad \lambda \in R,$ 

where  $\phi(\cdot)$  and  $\Phi(\cdot)$  are standard normal pdf and cdf, respectively.

#### **Skew-normal Distribution**

The skew-normal distribution has pdf

 $\varphi(x) = 2 \Phi(\lambda x)\phi(x), \quad x \in R, \quad \lambda \in R,$ 

where  $\phi(\cdot)$  and  $\Phi(\cdot)$  are standard normal pdf and cdf, respectively.

Note that

#### **Skew-normal Distribution**

The skew-normal distribution has pdf

 $\varphi(x) = 2 \Phi(\lambda x)\phi(x), \quad x \in R, \quad \lambda \in R,$ 

where  $\phi(\cdot)$  and  $\Phi(\cdot)$  are standard normal pdf and cdf, respectively.

Note that

- $\lambda \in R$  is a shape parameter;
- $\lambda = 0$  corresponds to std. normal case;
- $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$  corresponds to half normal case;

Location and scale parameters can be introduced into the model as well.

Azzalini's (1985, Scand. J. Statist.) article generated a lot of work on this family.

- Azzalini's (1985, Scand. J. Statist.) article generated a lot of work on this family.
- This distribution was, however, present either explicitly or implicitly in the early works of

- Azzalini's (1985, Scand. J. Statist.) article generated a lot of work on this family.
- This distribution was, however, present either explicitly or implicitly in the early works of
  - Birnbaum (1950)
  - Nelson (1964)
  - Weinstein (1964)
  - O'Hagan & Leonard (1976)

- Azzalini's (1985, Scand. J. Statist.) article generated a lot of work on this family.
- This distribution was, however, present either explicitly or implicitly in the early works of
  - Birnbaum (1950)
  - Nelson (1964)
  - Weinstein (1964)
  - O'Hagan & Leonard (1976)

**New connection to OS** 

#### New connection to OS

• For  $n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$ , consider the integral  $L_n(\lambda) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} {\{\Phi(\lambda x)\}^n \phi(x) dx}.$ 

#### New connection to OS

For  $n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$ , consider the integral  $L_n(\lambda) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} {\{\Phi(\lambda x)\}}^n \phi(x) dx.$ 

• Clearly,  $L_0(\lambda) = 1 \ \forall \lambda \in R$ .

#### New connection to OS

• For  $n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$ , consider the integral  $L_n(\lambda) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \{\Phi(\lambda x)\}^n \phi(x) dx.$ 

• Clearly,  $L_0(\lambda) = 1 \ \forall \lambda \in R$ .

Furthermore,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left\{ \Phi(\lambda x) - \frac{1}{2} \right\}^{2n+1} \phi(x) \, dx = 0$$

since the integrand is an odd function of x, we obtain:

$$L_{2n+1}(\lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^{2n+1} (-1)^{i+1} \frac{1}{2^i} \binom{2n+1}{i} L_{2n+1-i}(\lambda), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R},$$

for n = 0, 1, 2, ...

$$L_{2n+1}(\lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^{2n+1} (-1)^{i+1} \frac{1}{2^i} \binom{2n+1}{i} L_{2n+1-i}(\lambda), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R},$$

for n = 0, 1, 2, ...

For n = 0, we simply obtain  $L_1(\lambda) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Phi(\lambda x) \phi(x) dx = \frac{1}{2}L_0(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2}, \quad \lambda \in R,$ 

$$L_{2n+1}(\lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^{2n+1} (-1)^{i+1} \frac{1}{2^i} {2n+1 \choose i} L_{2n+1-i}(\lambda), \quad \lambda \in R,$$
  
for  $n = 0, 1, 2, ...$   
For  $n = 0$ , we simply obtain

$$L_1(\lambda) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Phi(\lambda x) \ \phi(x) \ dx = \frac{1}{2} L_0(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R},$$

which leads to the skew-normal density  $\varphi_1(x; \lambda) = 2 \Phi(\lambda x)\phi(x), \quad x \in R, \quad \lambda \in R.$ 

From

$$L_2(\lambda) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left\{ \Phi(\lambda x) \right\}^2 \phi(x) \, dx, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R},$$

#### From

$$L_2(\lambda) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left\{ \Phi(\lambda x) \right\}^2 \phi(x) \, dx, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R},$$

we find

From  $L_2(\lambda) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left\{ \Phi(\lambda x) \right\}^2 \phi(x) \, dx, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R},$ we find  $\frac{dL_2(\lambda)}{d\lambda} = 2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x \, \Phi(\lambda x) \, \phi(\lambda x) \, \phi(x) \, dx$  $= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Phi(\lambda x) x \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}x^2(1+\lambda^2)\right\} dx$  $= \frac{\lambda}{\pi(1+\lambda^2)\sqrt{1+2\lambda^2}}.$ 

From  $L_2(\lambda) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left\{ \Phi(\lambda x) \right\}^2 \phi(x) \, dx, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R},$ we find  $\frac{dL_2(\lambda)}{d\lambda} = 2 \int^{\infty} x \, \Phi(\lambda x) \, \phi(\lambda x) \, \phi(x) \, dx$  $= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Phi(\lambda x) x \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}x^2(1+\lambda^2)\right\} dx$  $\frac{\lambda}{\pi(1+\lambda^2)\sqrt{1+2\lambda^2}}.$ Solving this differential equation, we obtain

$$L_2(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\pi} \tan^{-1} \sqrt{1 + 2\lambda^2}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R},$$

$$L_2(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\pi} \tan^{-1} \sqrt{1 + 2\lambda^2}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R},$$

#### which leads to another skew-normal density

$$L_2(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\pi} \tan^{-1} \sqrt{1 + 2\lambda^2}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R},$$

#### which leads to another skew-normal density

 $\varphi_2(x;\lambda) = \frac{\pi}{\tan^{-1}\sqrt{1+2\lambda^2}} \left\{ \Phi(\lambda x) \right\}^2 \phi(x), \ x \in \mathbb{R}, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}.$
$$L_2(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\pi} \tan^{-1} \sqrt{1 + 2\lambda^2}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R},$$

which leads to another skew-normal density

$$\varphi_2(x;\lambda) = \frac{\pi}{\tan^{-1}\sqrt{1+2\lambda^2}} \left\{ \Phi(\lambda x) \right\}^2 \phi(x), \ x \in \mathbb{R}, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}.$$

<u>**Remark 1**</u>: Interestingly, this family also includes standard normal (when  $\lambda = 0$ ) and the half normal (when  $\lambda \to \infty$ ) distributions, just as  $\varphi_1(x; \lambda)$  does.

Next, setting n = 1 in the relation, we get

Next, setting n = 1 in the relation, we get

$$L_{3}(\lambda) = \frac{3}{2}L_{2}(\lambda) - \frac{3}{4}L_{1}(\lambda) + \frac{1}{8}L_{0}(\lambda)$$
  
=  $\frac{3}{2\pi} \tan^{-1}\sqrt{1+2\lambda^{2}} - \frac{1}{4}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R},$ 

Next, setting n = 1 in the relation, we get

$$L_{3}(\lambda) = \frac{3}{2}L_{2}(\lambda) - \frac{3}{4}L_{1}(\lambda) + \frac{1}{8}L_{0}(\lambda)$$
$$= \frac{3}{2\pi} \tan^{-1}\sqrt{1+2\lambda^{2}} - \frac{1}{4}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R},$$

which leads to another skew-normal density

Next, setting n = 1 in the relation, we get

$$L_{3}(\lambda) = \frac{3}{2}L_{2}(\lambda) - \frac{3}{4}L_{1}(\lambda) + \frac{1}{8}L_{0}(\lambda)$$
  
=  $\frac{3}{2\pi} \tan^{-1}\sqrt{1+2\lambda^{2}} - \frac{1}{4}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R},$ 

which leads to another skew-normal density

$$\varphi_3(x;\lambda) = \frac{1}{\frac{3}{2\pi} \tan^{-1} \sqrt{1+2\lambda^2} - \frac{1}{4}} \left\{ \Phi(\lambda x) \right\}^3 \phi(x), \ x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Next, setting n = 1 in the relation, we get

$$L_{3}(\lambda) = \frac{3}{2}L_{2}(\lambda) - \frac{3}{4}L_{1}(\lambda) + \frac{1}{8}L_{0}(\lambda)$$
  
=  $\frac{3}{2\pi} \tan^{-1}\sqrt{1+2\lambda^{2}} - \frac{1}{4}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R},$ 

which leads to another skew-normal density

 $\varphi_3(x;\lambda) = \frac{1}{\frac{3}{2\pi} \tan^{-1} \sqrt{1+2\lambda^2} - \frac{1}{4}} \left\{ \Phi(\lambda x) \right\}^3 \phi(x), \ x \in \mathbb{R}.$ 

<u>**Remark 2</u>**: Interestingly, this family also includes standard normal (when  $\lambda = 0$ ) and the half normal (when  $\lambda \to \infty$ ) distributions, just as  $\varphi_1(x; \lambda)$  and  $\varphi_2(x; \lambda)$  do.</u>

**<u>Remark 3</u>**: Evidently, in the special case when  $\lambda = 1$ , the densities  $\varphi_1(x; \lambda), \varphi(x; \lambda_2)$ and  $\varphi_3(x; \lambda)$  become the densities of the largest OS in samples of size 2, 3 and 4, respectively, from N(0, 1) distribution.

**<u>Remark 3</u>**: Evidently, in the special case when  $\lambda = 1$ , the densities  $\varphi_1(x; \lambda), \varphi(x; \lambda_2)$ and  $\varphi_3(x; \lambda)$  become the densities of the largest OS in samples of size 2, 3 and 4, respectively, from N(0, 1) distribution.

<u>**Remark 4**</u>: In addition, the integral  $L_n(\lambda)$  is also involved in the means of OS from N(0, 1)distribution. For example, with  $\mu_{m:m}$  denoting the mean of the largest OS in a sample of size *m* from N(0, 1), we have

**<u>Remark 3</u>**: Evidently, in the special case when  $\lambda = 1$ , the densities  $\varphi_1(x; \lambda), \varphi(x; \lambda_2)$ and  $\varphi_3(x; \lambda)$  become the densities of the largest OS in samples of size 2, 3 and 4, respectively, from N(0, 1) distribution.

**<u>Remark 4</u>**: In addition, the integral  $L_n(\lambda)$  is also involved in the means of OS from N(0, 1)distribution. For example, with  $\mu_{m:m}$  denoting the mean of the largest OS in a sample of size *m* from N(0, 1), we have

$$\mu_{2:2} = \frac{L_0}{\sqrt{\pi}}, \ \mu_{3:3} = \frac{3L_1(1)}{\sqrt{\pi}}, \ \mu_{4:4} = \frac{6L_2(1)}{\sqrt{\pi}}, \ \mu_{5:5} = \frac{10L_3(1)}{\sqrt{\pi}}.$$

Natural questions that arise:

Natural questions that arise:

First, we could consider a general skew-normal family

 $\varphi(x;\lambda,p) = C(\lambda,p) \ \{\Phi(\lambda x)\}^p \ \phi(x), \ x \in R, \lambda \in R, p > 0.$ 

Natural questions that arise:

First, we could consider a general skew-normal family

 $\varphi(x;\lambda,p) = C(\lambda,p) \ \left\{\Phi(\lambda x)\right\}^p \phi(x), \ x \in R, \lambda \in R, p > 0.$ 

How about the normalizing constant?

#### Natural questions that arise:

First, we could consider a general skew-normal family

 $\varphi(x;\lambda,p) = C(\lambda,p) \ \left\{\Phi(\lambda x)\right\}^p \phi(x), \ x \in R, \lambda \in R, p > 0.$ 

How about the normalizing constant? How much flexibility is in this family?

#### Natural questions that arise:

First, we could consider a general skew-normal family

 $\varphi(x;\lambda,p) = C(\lambda,p) \ \{\Phi(\lambda x)\}^p \ \phi(x), \ x \in R, \lambda \in R, p > 0.$ 

How about the normalizing constant? How much flexibility is in this family? Can we develop inference for this model?

#### Natural questions that arise:

First, we could consider a general skew-normal family

 $\varphi(x;\lambda,p) = C(\lambda,p) \ \left\{\Phi(\lambda x)\right\}^p \phi(x), \ x \in R, \lambda \in R, p > 0.$ 

How about the normalizing constant?

How much flexibility is in this family?

Can we develop inference for this model?

Next, can we have a similar skewed look at OS from BV and MV normal distributions?

#### Natural questions that arise:

First, we could consider a general skew-normal family

 $\varphi(x;\lambda,p) = C(\lambda,p) \ \left\{\Phi(\lambda x)\right\}^p \phi(x), \ x \in R, \lambda \in R, p > 0.$ 

How about the normalizing constant?

How much flexibility is in this family?

Can we develop inference for this model?

- Next, can we have a similar skewed look at OS from BV and MV normal distributions?
- How about other distributions?

• Let  $(X_1, X_2) \stackrel{d}{=} BVN(\mu_1, \mu_2, \sigma_1^2, \sigma_2^2, \rho).$ 

• Let  $(X_1, X_2) \stackrel{d}{=} BVN(\mu_1, \mu_2, \sigma_1^2, \sigma_2^2, \rho)$ . • Let  $W_{1:2} \leq W_{2:2}$  be the OS from  $(X_1, X_2)$ .

- Let  $(X_1, X_2) \stackrel{d}{=} BVN(\mu_1, \mu_2, \sigma_1^2, \sigma_2^2, \rho).$
- Let  $W_{1:2} \leq W_{2:2}$  be the OS from  $(X_1, X_2)$ .
- This bivariate case has been discussed by many, including

- Let  $(X_1, X_2) \stackrel{d}{=} BVN(\mu_1, \mu_2, \sigma_1^2, \sigma_2^2, \rho).$
- Let  $W_{1:2} \leq W_{2:2}$  be the OS from  $(X_1, X_2)$ .
- This bivariate case has been discussed by many, including
  - S.S. Gupta and K.C.S. Pillai (1965)
  - A.P. Basu and J.K. Ghosh (1978)
  - H.N. Nagaraja (1982)
  - N. Balakrishnan (1993)
  - M. Cain (1994)
  - M. Cain and E. Pan (1995)

### Generalized Skew-Normal Distribution

• A variable  $Z_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\rho}$  is said to have a *generalized skew-normal distribution*, denoted by  $GSN(\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\rho)$ , if

#### **Generalized Skew-Normal Distribution**

• A variable  $Z_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\rho}$  is said to have a generalized skew-normal distribution, denoted by  $GSN(\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\rho)$ , if

 $Z_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\rho} \stackrel{d}{=} X \mid (Y_1 < \lambda_1 X, Y_2 < \lambda_2 X), \ \lambda_1,\lambda_2 \in R, |\rho| < 1,$ 

where  $X \sim N(0, 1)$  independently of  $(Y_1, Y_2) \sim BVN(0, 0, 1, 1, \rho)$ .

#### **Generalized Skew-Normal Distribution**

• A variable  $Z_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\rho}$  is said to have a generalized skew-normal distribution, denoted by  $GSN(\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\rho)$ , if

 $Z_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\rho} \stackrel{d}{=} X \mid (Y_1 < \lambda_1 X, Y_2 < \lambda_2 X), \ \lambda_1,\lambda_2 \in R, |\rho| < 1,$ 

where  $X \sim N(0, 1)$  independently of  $(Y_1, Y_2) \sim BVN(0, 0, 1, 1, \rho)$ .

It should be mentioned that  $Z_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\rho}$  belongs to

#### **Generalized Skew-Normal Distribution**

• A variable  $Z_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\rho}$  is said to have a generalized skew-normal distribution, denoted by  $GSN(\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\rho)$ , if

 $Z_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\rho} \stackrel{d}{=} X \mid (Y_1 < \lambda_1 X, Y_2 < \lambda_2 X), \ \lambda_1,\lambda_2 \in R, |\rho| < 1,$ 

where  $X \sim N(0, 1)$  independently of  $(Y_1, Y_2) \sim BVN(0, 0, 1, 1, \rho)$ .

It should be mentioned that  $Z_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\rho}$  belongs to  $SUN_{1,2}(0,0,1,\Omega^*)$  [Arellano-Valle & Azzalini (2006)]  $CSN_{1,2}$  [Farias, Molina & A.K. Gupta (2004)]

It can shown that the pdf of  $Z_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\rho}$  is

• It can shown that the pdf of  $Z_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\rho}$  is  $\varphi(z;\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\rho) = c(\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\rho) \ \phi(z) \ \Phi(\lambda_1z,\lambda_2z;\rho), \ z \in R,$ with  $\lambda_1,\lambda_2 \in R, \ |\rho| < 1, \text{ and } \Phi(\cdot,\cdot;\rho)$  denoting the cdf of  $BVN(0,0,1,1,\rho).$ 

It can shown that the pdf of  $Z_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\rho}$  is  $\varphi(z;\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\rho) = c(\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\rho) \ \phi(z) \ \Phi(\lambda_1 z,\lambda_2 z;\rho), \ z \in \mathbb{R},$ with  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in R$ ,  $|\rho| < 1$ , and  $\Phi(\cdot, \cdot; \rho)$  denoting the cdf of  $BVN(0, 0, 1, 1, \rho)$ . For determining  $c(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \rho)$ , we note that  $c(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \rho) \equiv \frac{1}{a(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \rho)} = \frac{1}{P(Y_1 < \lambda_1 X, Y_2 < \lambda_2 X)},$ where  $X \sim N(0, 1)$  independently of  $(Y_1, Y_2) \sim BVN(0, 0, 1, 1, \rho).$ 

Lemma 1: We have

Lemma 1: We have

 $a(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \rho) = P(Y_1 < \lambda_1 X, Y_2 < \lambda_2 X)$ =  $\frac{1}{2\pi} \cos^{-1} \left( \frac{-(\rho + \lambda_1 \lambda_2)}{\sqrt{1 + \lambda_1^2} \sqrt{1 + \lambda_2^2}} \right);$ 

[Kotz, Balakrishnan and Johnson (2000)].

Lemma 1: We have

 $a(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \rho) = P(Y_1 < \lambda_1 X, Y_2 < \lambda_2 X)$ =  $\frac{1}{2\pi} \cos^{-1} \left( \frac{-(\rho + \lambda_1 \lambda_2)}{\sqrt{1 + \lambda_1^2} \sqrt{1 + \lambda_2^2}} \right);$ 

[Kotz, Balakrishnan and Johnson (2000)].
The generalized skew-normal pdf is then

Lemma 1: We have

 $a(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \rho) = P(Y_1 < \lambda_1 X, Y_2 < \lambda_2 X)$ =  $\frac{1}{2\pi} \cos^{-1} \left( \frac{-(\rho + \lambda_1 \lambda_2)}{\sqrt{1 + \lambda_1^2} \sqrt{1 + \lambda_2^2}} \right);$ 

[Kotz, Balakrishnan and Johnson (2000)].The generalized skew-normal pdf is then

$$\varphi(z;\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\rho) = \frac{2\pi}{\cos^{-1}\left(\frac{-(\rho+\lambda_1\lambda_2)}{\sqrt{1+\lambda_1^2}\sqrt{1+\lambda_2^2}}\right)}\phi(z)\Phi(\lambda_1z,\lambda_2z;\rho)$$

for  $z, \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in R, |\rho| < 1$ .

Let  $\Phi(\cdot, \cdot; \delta)$  denote cdf of  $BVN(0, 0, 1, 1, \delta)$ , and  $\Phi(\cdot; \theta)$  denote cdf of  $SN(\theta)$ .

• Let  $\Phi(\cdot, \cdot; \delta)$  denote cdf of  $BVN(0, 0, 1, 1, \delta)$ , and  $\Phi(\cdot; \theta)$  denote cdf of  $SN(\theta)$ .

Lemma 2: We then have

Let  $\Phi(\cdot, \cdot; \delta)$  denote cdf of  $BVN(0, 0, 1, 1, \delta)$ , and  $\Phi(\cdot; \theta)$  denote cdf of  $SN(\theta)$ .

Lemma 2: We then have

$$\begin{split} \Phi(0,0;\delta) &= \frac{1}{2\pi}\cos^{-1}(-\delta), \\ \Phi(\gamma x,0;\delta) &= \Phi(0,\gamma x;\delta) = \frac{1}{2}\Phi\left(\gamma x;\frac{-\delta}{\sqrt{1-\delta^2}}\right), \\ \Phi(\gamma_1 x,\gamma_2 x;\delta) &= \frac{1}{2}\left\{\Phi(\gamma_1 x;\eta_1) + \Phi(\gamma_2 x;\eta_2) - I(\gamma_1 \gamma_2)\right\}, \\ \text{where } I(a) &= 0 \text{ if } a > 0 \text{ and } 1 \text{ if } a < 0, \\ \eta_1 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\delta^2}}\left(\frac{\gamma_2}{\gamma_1} - \delta\right), \ \eta_2 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\delta^2}}\left(\frac{\gamma_1}{\gamma_2} - \delta\right). \end{split}$$

<u>**Theorem 1**</u>: If  $M(t; \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \rho)$  is the MGF of  $Z_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \rho} \sim GSN(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \rho)$ , then

<u>**Theorem 1**</u>: If  $M(t; \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \rho)$  is the MGF of  $Z_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \rho} \sim GSN(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \rho)$ , then

 $M(t;\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\rho) = \frac{2\pi}{\cos^{-1}\left(\frac{-(\rho+\lambda_1\lambda_2)}{\sqrt{1+\lambda_1^2}\sqrt{1+\lambda_2^2}}\right)} e^{t^2/2}$  $\times \Phi\left(\frac{\lambda_1 t}{\sqrt{1+\lambda_1^2}},\frac{\lambda_2 t}{\sqrt{1+\lambda_2^2}};\frac{\rho+\lambda_1\lambda_2}{\sqrt{1+\lambda_1^2}}\right).$
## Generalized Skew-Normal Distribution (cont.)

<u>**Theorem 1**</u>: If  $M(t; \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \rho)$  is the MGF of  $Z_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \rho} \sim GSN(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \rho)$ , then

$$M(t;\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\rho) = \frac{2\pi}{\cos^{-1}\left(\frac{-(\rho+\lambda_1\lambda_2)}{\sqrt{1+\lambda_1^2}\sqrt{1+\lambda_2^2}}\right)} e^{t^2/2}$$
$$\times \Phi\left(\frac{\lambda_1 t}{\sqrt{1+\lambda_1^2}},\frac{\lambda_2 t}{\sqrt{1+\lambda_2^2}};\frac{\rho+\lambda_1\lambda_2}{\sqrt{1+\lambda_1^2}}\right)$$

 $\frac{\text{Corollary 1}: \text{Theorem 1 yields, for example,}}{E\left[Z_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\rho}\right] = \frac{\sqrt{\pi/2}}{\cos^{-1}\left(\frac{-(\rho+\lambda_1\lambda_2)}{\sqrt{1+\lambda_1^2}\sqrt{1+\lambda_2^2}}\right)} \left\{\frac{\lambda_1}{\sqrt{1+\lambda_1^2}} + \frac{\lambda_2}{\sqrt{1+\lambda_2^2}}\right\}.$ 

• Let  $(W_1, W_2, W_3) \sim TVN(\mathbf{0}, \Sigma)$ , where

• Let  $(W_1, W_2, W_3) \sim TVN(\mathbf{0}, \Sigma)$ , where

$$\Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1^2 & \rho_{12}\sigma_1\sigma_2 & \rho_{13}\sigma_1\sigma_3 \\ \rho_{12}\sigma_1\sigma_2 & \sigma_2^2 & \rho_{23}\sigma_2\sigma_3 \\ \rho_{13}\sigma_1\sigma_3 & \rho_{23}\sigma_2\sigma_3 & \sigma_3^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

is a positive definite matrix.

• Let  $(W_1, W_2, W_3) \sim TVN(\mathbf{0}, \Sigma)$ , where

$$\Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1^2 & \rho_{12}\sigma_1\sigma_2 & \rho_{13}\sigma_1\sigma_3 \\ \rho_{12}\sigma_1\sigma_2 & \sigma_2^2 & \rho_{23}\sigma_2\sigma_3 \\ \rho_{13}\sigma_1\sigma_3 & \rho_{23}\sigma_2\sigma_3 & \sigma_3^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

is a positive definite matrix.

• Let  $W_{1:3} = \min(W_1, W_2, W_3) < W_{2:3} < W_{3:3} = \max(W_1, W_2, W_3)$  denote the order statistics from  $(W_1, W_2, W_3)$ .

• Let  $(W_1, W_2, W_3) \sim TVN(\mathbf{0}, \Sigma)$ , where

$$\Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1^2 & \rho_{12}\sigma_1\sigma_2 & \rho_{13}\sigma_1\sigma_3 \\ \rho_{12}\sigma_1\sigma_2 & \sigma_2^2 & \rho_{23}\sigma_2\sigma_3 \\ \rho_{13}\sigma_1\sigma_3 & \rho_{23}\sigma_2\sigma_3 & \sigma_3^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

is a positive definite matrix.

• Let  $W_{1:3} = \min(W_1, W_2, W_3) < W_{2:3} < W_{3:3} = \max(W_1, W_2, W_3)$  denote the order statistics from  $(W_1, W_2, W_3)$ .

Let  $F_i(t; \Sigma)$  denote the cdf of  $W_{i:3}$ , i = 1, 2, 3.

**Theorem 2**: The cdf of  $W_{3:3}$  is the mixture

**Theorem 2**: The cdf of  $W_{3:3}$  is the mixture

$$F_3(t;\Sigma) = a(\boldsymbol{\theta}_1)\Phi\left(\frac{t}{\sigma_1};\boldsymbol{\theta}_1\right) + a(\boldsymbol{\theta}_2)\Phi\left(\frac{t}{\sigma_2};\boldsymbol{\theta}_2\right) + a(\boldsymbol{\theta}_3)\Phi\left(\frac{t}{\sigma_3};\boldsymbol{\theta}_3\right),$$

**Theorem 2**: The cdf of  $W_{3:3}$  is the mixture  $F_3(t;\Sigma) = a(\boldsymbol{\theta}_1) \Phi\left(\frac{t}{\sigma_1};\boldsymbol{\theta}_1\right) + a(\boldsymbol{\theta}_2) \Phi\left(\frac{t}{\sigma_2};\boldsymbol{\theta}_2\right) + a(\boldsymbol{\theta}_3) \Phi\left(\frac{t}{\sigma_3};\boldsymbol{\theta}_3\right),$ where  $\Phi(\cdot; \theta)$  denotes the cdf of  $GSN(\theta)$ ,  $a(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \rho) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \cos^{-1} \left( \frac{-(\rho + \lambda_1 \lambda_2)}{\sqrt{1 + \lambda_1^2} \sqrt{1 + \lambda_2^2}} \right),$  $\boldsymbol{\theta}_{1} = \left(\frac{\frac{\sigma_{1}}{\sigma_{2}} - \rho_{12}}{\sqrt{1 - \rho_{12}^{2}}}, \frac{\frac{\sigma_{1}}{\sigma_{3}} - \rho_{13}}{\sqrt{1 - \rho_{12}^{2}}}, \frac{\rho_{23} - \rho_{12}\rho_{13}}{\sqrt{1 - \rho_{12}^{2}}}\right),$  $\boldsymbol{\theta}_{2} = \left(\frac{\frac{\sigma_{2}}{\sigma_{1}} - \rho_{12}}{\sqrt{1 - \rho_{12}^{2}}}, \frac{\frac{\sigma_{2}}{\sigma_{3}} - \rho_{23}}{\sqrt{1 - \rho_{23}^{2}}}, \frac{\rho_{13} - \rho_{12}\rho_{23}}{\sqrt{1 - \rho_{12}^{2}}\sqrt{1 - \rho_{23}^{2}}}\right),$  $\boldsymbol{\theta}_{3} = \left(\frac{\frac{\sigma_{3}}{\sigma_{1}} - \rho_{13}}{\sqrt{1 - \rho_{12}^{2}}}, \frac{\frac{\sigma_{3}}{\sigma_{2}} - \rho_{23}}{\sqrt{1 - \rho_{23}^{2}}}, \frac{\rho_{12} - \rho_{13}\rho_{23}}{\sqrt{1 - \rho_{13}^{2}}\sqrt{1 - \rho_{23}^{2}}}\right).$ 

**Theorem 3**: The mgf of  $W_{3:3}$  is

**Theorem 3**: The mgf of  $W_{3:3}$  is

$$M_{3}(s;\Sigma) = e^{s^{2}/2} \left\{ \Phi\left(\sqrt{\frac{1-\rho_{12}}{2}}s;\alpha_{1}\right) + \Phi\left(\sqrt{\frac{1-\rho_{13}}{2}}s;\alpha_{2}\right) + \Phi\left(\sqrt{\frac{1-\rho_{23}}{2}}s;\alpha_{3}\right) \right\},$$

**Theorem 3**: The mgf of  $W_{3:3}$  is

$$M_{3}(s;\Sigma) = e^{s^{2}/2} \left\{ \Phi\left(\sqrt{\frac{1-\rho_{12}}{2}}s;\alpha_{1}\right) + \Phi\left(\sqrt{\frac{1-\rho_{13}}{2}}s;\alpha_{2}\right) + \Phi\left(\sqrt{\frac{1-\rho_{23}}{2}}s;\alpha_{3}\right) \right\},$$

where  $\Phi(\cdot; \theta)$  is the cdf of  $SN(\theta)$ , and

$$\alpha_{1} = \frac{1 + \rho_{12} - \rho_{13} - \rho_{23}}{\sqrt{A}},$$

$$\alpha_{2} = \frac{1 + \rho_{13} - \rho_{12} - \rho_{23}}{\sqrt{A}},$$

$$\alpha_{3} = \frac{1 + \rho_{23} - \rho_{12} - \rho_{13}}{\sqrt{A}},$$

$$A = 6 - \left\{ (1 + \rho_{12})^{2} + (1 + \rho_{13})^{2} + (1 + \rho_{23})^{2} \right\} + 2 \left( \rho_{12}\rho_{13} + \rho_{12}\rho_{23} + \rho_{13}\rho_{23} \right).$$

**Corollary 2**: Theorem 3 yields, for example,

Corollary 2: Theorem 3 yields, for example,

$$E(W_{3:3}) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \left\{ \sqrt{1 - \rho_{12}} + \sqrt{1 - \rho_{13}} + \sqrt{1 - \rho_{23}} \right\}$$

#### and

**Corollary 2**: Theorem 3 yields, for example,

$$E(W_{3:3}) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \left\{ \sqrt{1 - \rho_{12}} + \sqrt{1 - \rho_{13}} + \sqrt{1 - \rho_{23}} \right\}$$

and 
$$Var(W_{3:3}) = 1 + \frac{\sqrt{A}}{2\pi} - E^2(W_{3:3}).$$

Corollary 2: Theorem 3 yields, for example,

$$E(W_{3:3}) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \left\{ \sqrt{1 - \rho_{12}} + \sqrt{1 - \rho_{13}} + \sqrt{1 - \rho_{23}} \right\}$$

and  

$$Var(W_{3:3}) = 1 + \frac{\sqrt{A}}{2\pi} - E^2(W_{3:3}).$$

**<u>Remark 5</u>**: Similar mixture forms can be derived for the cdf of  $W_{1:3}$  and  $W_{2:3}$ , and from them explicit expressions for their mgf, moments, etc.

**Problems for further study:** 

#### **Problems for further study:**

Can we make use of these results concerning distributions and moments of OS to develop some efficient inferential methods?

#### **Problems for further study**:

- Can we make use of these results concerning distributions and moments of OS to develop some efficient inferential methods?
- How far can these results be generalized to obtain such explicit expressions for the case of OS from MVN?

• Let  $\boldsymbol{X}_i = (X_{1i}, \dots, X_{pi})^T$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , be iid observations from  $MVN(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \Sigma)$ , where  $\boldsymbol{\mu} = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_p)^T$  and  $\Sigma = ((\sigma_{ij}))$ .

• Let  $\boldsymbol{X}_i = (X_{1i}, \dots, X_{pi})^T$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , be iid observations from  $MVN(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \Sigma)$ , where  $\boldsymbol{\mu} = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_p)^T$  and  $\Sigma = ((\sigma_{ij}))$ .

• Let  $P = \{i_1, \ldots, i_m\}$   $(m \ge 1)$  be a partition of  $\{1, \ldots, p\}$ , and Q its complementary partition.

• Let  $\boldsymbol{X}_i = (X_{1i}, \dots, X_{pi})^T$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , be iid observations from  $MVN(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \Sigma)$ , where  $\boldsymbol{\mu} = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_p)^T$  and  $\Sigma = ((\sigma_{ij}))$ .

- Let  $P = \{i_1, \ldots, i_m\}$   $(m \ge 1)$  be a partition of  $\{1, \ldots, p\}$ , and Q its complementary partition.
- Let  $C = (c_1, \dots, c_p)^T$  be a vector of non-zero constants.

- Let  $\boldsymbol{X}_i = (X_{1i}, \dots, X_{pi})^T$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , be iid observations from  $MVN(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \Sigma)$ , where  $\boldsymbol{\mu} = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_p)^T$  and  $\Sigma = ((\sigma_{ij}))$ .
- Let  $P = \{i_1, \ldots, i_m\}$   $(m \ge 1)$  be a partition of  $\{1, \ldots, p\}$ , and Q its complementary partition.
- Let  $C = (c_1, \dots, c_p)^T$  be a vector of non-zero constants.
- Further, let us define for  $j = 1, \ldots, n$ ,

$$X_j = \sum_{i \in P} c_i X_{ij} = \boldsymbol{C}_P^T \boldsymbol{X}_j, \quad Y_j = \sum_{i \in Q} c_i X_{ij} = \boldsymbol{C}_Q^T \boldsymbol{X}_j.$$

Lemma 3: Evidently,

#### Lemma 3: Evidently,

$$\mu_{X} = E(X_{j}) = \boldsymbol{C}_{P}^{T} \boldsymbol{\mu},$$
  

$$\mu_{Y} = E(Y_{j}) = \boldsymbol{C}_{Q}^{T} \boldsymbol{\mu},$$
  

$$\sigma_{X}^{2} = Var(X_{j}) = \boldsymbol{C}_{P}^{T} \Sigma \boldsymbol{C}_{P},$$
  

$$\sigma_{Y}^{2} = Var(Y_{j}) = \boldsymbol{C}_{Q}^{T} \Sigma \boldsymbol{C}_{Q},$$
  

$$\sigma_{X,Y} = Cov(X_{j}, Y_{j}) = \boldsymbol{C}_{P}^{T} \Sigma \boldsymbol{C}_{Q},$$
  

$$\rho = \frac{\sigma_{X,Y}}{\sigma_{X}\sigma_{Y}} = \frac{\boldsymbol{C}_{P}^{T} \Sigma \boldsymbol{C}_{Q}}{\sqrt{(\boldsymbol{C}_{P}^{T} \Sigma \boldsymbol{C}_{P})(\boldsymbol{C}_{Q}^{T} \Sigma \boldsymbol{C}_{Q})}}.$$

Now, let

 $S_j = X_j + Y_j = \boldsymbol{C}_P^T \boldsymbol{X}_j + \boldsymbol{C}_Q^T \boldsymbol{X}_j = \boldsymbol{C}^T \boldsymbol{X}_j$ 

for j = 1, ..., n.

Now, let

 $S_j = X_j + Y_j = \boldsymbol{C}_P^T \boldsymbol{X}_j + \boldsymbol{C}_Q^T \boldsymbol{X}_j = \boldsymbol{C}^T \boldsymbol{X}_j$ 

for j = 1, ..., n.

• Let  $S_{1:n} \leq S_{2:n} \leq \cdots \leq S_{n:n}$  denote the order statistics of  $S_j$ 's.

Now, let

 $S_j = X_j + Y_j = \boldsymbol{C}_P^T \boldsymbol{X}_j + \boldsymbol{C}_Q^T \boldsymbol{X}_j = \boldsymbol{C}^T \boldsymbol{X}_j$ 

for j = 1, ..., n.

• Let  $S_{1:n} \leq S_{2:n} \leq \cdots \leq S_{n:n}$  denote the order statistics of  $S_j$ 's.

Let X<sub>[k:n]</sub> be the k-th induced multivariate order statistic; i.e.,

 $X_{[k:n]} = X_j$  whenever  $S_{k:n} = S_j$ .

**Example 1**: While analyzing extreme lake levels in hydrology, the annual maximum level at a location in the lake is a combination of the daily water level averaged over the entire lake and the up surge in local water levels due to wind effects at that site [ Song, Buchberger & Deddens (1992)].

**Example 1**: While analyzing extreme lake levels in hydrology, the annual maximum level at a location in the lake is a combination of the daily water level averaged over the entire lake and the up surge in local water levels due to wind effects at that site [ Song, Buchberger & Deddens (1992)].

**Example 2**: While evaluating the performance of students in a course, the final grade may often be a weighted average of the scores in mid-term tests and the final examination.

#### Theorem 4: We have

$$X_{[k:n]} = \boldsymbol{C}_P^T \boldsymbol{X}_{[k:n]}$$
 and  $Y_{[k:n]} = \boldsymbol{C}_Q^T \boldsymbol{X}_{[k:n]}$ .

#### Theorem 4: We have

 $X_{[k:n]} = \boldsymbol{C}_P^T \boldsymbol{X}_{[k:n]}$  and  $Y_{[k:n]} = \boldsymbol{C}_Q^T \boldsymbol{X}_{[k:n]}$ .

Consequently, we readily obtain

$$E(X_{[k:n]}) = \boldsymbol{C}_{P}^{T} \boldsymbol{\mu} + \alpha_{k:n} \left\{ \frac{\boldsymbol{C}_{P}^{T} \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \boldsymbol{C}_{P} + \boldsymbol{C}_{P}^{T} \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \boldsymbol{C}_{Q}}{\sqrt{\boldsymbol{C}_{P}^{T} \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \boldsymbol{C}_{P} + \boldsymbol{C}_{Q}^{T} \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \boldsymbol{C}_{Q} + 2\boldsymbol{C}_{P}^{T} \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \boldsymbol{C}_{Q}}} \right\},$$

where  $\alpha_{k:n}$  is the mean of the *k*-th OS from a sample of size *n* from N(0, 1).

#### Theorem 4: We have

 $X_{[k:n]} = \boldsymbol{C}_P^T \boldsymbol{X}_{[k:n]}$  and  $Y_{[k:n]} = \boldsymbol{C}_Q^T \boldsymbol{X}_{[k:n]}$ .

Consequently, we readily obtain

$$E(X_{[k:n]}) = \boldsymbol{C}_{P}^{T} \boldsymbol{\mu} + \alpha_{k:n} \left\{ \frac{\boldsymbol{C}_{P}^{T} \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \boldsymbol{C}_{P} + \boldsymbol{C}_{P}^{T} \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \boldsymbol{C}_{Q}}{\sqrt{\boldsymbol{C}_{P}^{T} \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \boldsymbol{C}_{P} + \boldsymbol{C}_{Q}^{T} \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \boldsymbol{C}_{Q} + 2\boldsymbol{C}_{P}^{T} \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \boldsymbol{C}_{Q}}} \right\},$$

where  $\alpha_{k:n}$  is the mean of the *k*-th OS from a sample of size *n* from N(0, 1).

Similar expressions can be derived for  $Var(X_{[k:n]})$  and other moments.

By choosing the partitions P and Q suitably, we have the following results for within a concomitant OS.

By choosing the partitions *P* and *Q* suitably, we have the following results for within a concomitant OS.
Theorem 5. For *l* = 1, we obtain

<u>Theorem 5</u>: For  $k = 1, \ldots, n$ , we obtain

By choosing the partitions P and Q suitably, we have the following results for within a concomitant OS.

$$\underline{\text{Theorem 5}}: \text{ For } k = 1, \dots, n, \text{ we obtain}$$

$$E(X_{i[k:n]}) = \mu_i + \alpha_{k:n} \left\{ \frac{\sum_{r=1}^p c_r \sigma_{ir}}{\sqrt{\sum_{s=1}^p \sum_{r=1}^p c_r c_s \sigma_{rs}}} \right\}, i = 1, \dots, p,$$

$$Var(X_{i[k:n]}) = \sigma_{ii} - (1 - \beta_{k,k:n}) \left\{ \frac{\left(\sum_{r=1}^p c_r \sigma_{ir}\right)^2}{\sum_{s=1}^p \sum_{r=1}^p c_r c_s \sigma_{rs}} \right\}, i = 1, \dots, p,$$

$$Cov(X_{i[k:n]}, X_{j[k:n]})) = \sigma_{ij} - (1 - \beta_{k,k:n}) \left\{ \frac{\sum_{s=1}^p \sum_{r=1}^p c_r c_s \sigma_{ir} \sigma_{js}}{\sum_{s=1}^p \sum_{r=1}^p c_r c_s \sigma_{rs}} \right\},$$

$$1 \le i < j \le p,$$

where  $\beta_{k,k:n}$  is the variance of the *k*-th OS from a sample of size *n* from N(0, 1).

By choosing the partitions P and Q suitably, we have the following results for between concomitant OS.
By choosing the partitions *P* and *Q* suitably, we have the following results for between concomitant OS.
 Theorem 6: For 1 ≤ k < ℓ < n, we obtain</li>

■ By choosing the partitions *P* and *Q* suitably, we have the following results for between concomitant OS.
Theorem 6: For 1 ≤ k < ℓ < n, we obtain</p>

$$Cov(X_{i[k:n]}, X_{i[\ell:n]}) = \beta_{k,\ell:n} \left\{ \frac{\left(\sum_{r=1}^{p} c_{r} \sigma_{ir}\right)^{2}}{\sum_{s=1}^{p} \sum_{r=1}^{p} c_{r} c_{s} \sigma_{rs}} \right\}, \\ i = 1, \dots, p, \\ Cov(X_{i[k:n]}, X_{j[\ell:n]})) = \beta_{k,\ell:n} \left\{ \frac{\sum_{s=1}^{p} \sum_{r=1}^{p} c_{r} c_{s} \sigma_{ir} \sigma_{js}}{\sum_{s=1}^{p} \sum_{r=1}^{p} c_{r} c_{s} \sigma_{rs}} \right\}, \\ 1 \le i < j \le p,$$

where  $\beta_{k,\ell:n}$  is covariance between *k*-th and  $\ell$ -th OS from a sample of size *n* from N(0,1).

Corollary 3:  $Var(X_{[k:n]})$  and  $Var(Y_{[k:n]})$  can be rewritten as

 $\begin{array}{lll}
\underbrace{\text{Corollary 3: } Var(X_{[k:n]}) \text{ and } Var(Y_{[k:n]}) \text{ can} \\
\underbrace{\text{be rewritten as}} \\
Var(X_{[k:n]}) &= \sigma_X^2 - (1 - \beta_{k,k:n}) \left\{ \frac{\left(a\sigma_X^2 + b\rho\sigma_X\sigma_Y\right)^2}{\Delta} \right\}, \\
Var(Y_{[k:n]}) &= \sigma_Y^2 - (1 - \beta_{k,k:n}) \left\{ \frac{\left(b\sigma_Y^2 + a\rho\sigma_X\sigma_Y\right)^2}{\Delta} \right\},
\end{array}$ 

where  $\Delta = a^2 \sigma_X^2 + b^2 \sigma_Y^2 + 2ab\rho \sigma_X \sigma_Y$ .

**Corollary 3**:  $Var(X_{[k:n]})$  and  $Var(Y_{[k:n]})$  can be rewritten as  $Var(X_{[k:n]}) = \sigma_X^2 - (1 - \beta_{k,k:n}) \left\{ \frac{\left(a\sigma_X^2 + b\rho\sigma_X\sigma_Y\right)^2}{\Delta} \right\},$  $Var(Y_{[k:n]}) = \sigma_Y^2 - (1 - \beta_{k,k:n}) \left\{ \frac{\left(b\sigma_Y^2 + a\rho\sigma_X\sigma_Y\right)^2}{\Delta} \right\},$ where  $\Delta = a^2 \sigma_X^2 + b^2 \sigma_Y^2 + 2ab\rho \sigma_X \sigma_Y$ . Now, since  $\beta_{j,k:n} > 0$  [Bickel (1967)] and  $\sum_{j=1}^{n} \beta_{j,k:n} = 1$  for  $1 \le k \le n$ [Arnold, Balakrishnan & Nagaraja (1992)], we have  $0 < \beta_{k,k;n} < 1$ .

• Consequently, we observe that  $Var(X_{[k:n]}) < \sigma_X^2$  and  $Var(Y_{[k:n]}) < \sigma_Y^2$ for all k = 1, ..., n.

Consequently, we observe that

 $Var(X_{[k:n]}) < \sigma_X^2$  and  $Var(Y_{[k:n]}) < \sigma_Y^2$ 

for all  $k = 1, \ldots, n$ .

Using a similar argument, it can be shown that

 $Var(X_{i[k:n]}) < \sigma_{ii}$ 

for i = 1, ..., p and all k = 1, ..., n.

## OS from BV and TV $\boldsymbol{t}$ Distributions

Arellano-Valle and Azzalini (2006) presented unified multivariate skew-elliptical distribution through conditional distributions.

## OS from BV and TV $\boldsymbol{t}$ Distributions

Arellano-Valle and Azzalini (2006) presented unified multivariate skew-elliptical distribution through conditional distributions.

• A special case of this distribution is a generalized skew- $t_{\nu}$  distribution.

## **OS from BV and TV** t **Distributions**

- Arellano-Valle and Azzalini (2006) presented unified multivariate skew-elliptical distribution through conditional distributions.
- A special case of this distribution is a generalized skew- $t_{\nu}$  distribution.
- Now, using skew- $t_{\nu}$  and generalized skew- $t_{\nu}$  distributions, distributions and properties of OS from BV and TV  $t_{\nu}$  distributions can be studied.

**Problems for further study:** 

### **Problems for further study:**

Can we make use of these results concerning distributions and moments of OS to develop some efficient inferential methods?

### **Problems for further study**:

- Can we make use of these results concerning distributions and moments of OS to develop some efficient inferential methods?
- How far can these results be generalized to obtain such explicit expressions for the case of OS from MV  $t_{\nu}$ ?

### **Problems for further study:**

- Can we make use of these results concerning distributions and moments of OS to develop some efficient inferential methods?
- How far can these results be generalized to obtain such explicit expressions for the case of OS from MV  $t_{\nu}$ ?
- Can we do this work more generally in terms of elliptically contoured distributions, for example?



Most pertinent papers are:

## Bibliography

#### Most pertinent papers are:

- Arellano-Valle, R.B. and Azzalini, A. (2006). Scand. J. Statist.
- Arnold, B.C. and Beaver, R.J. (2002). *Test*.
- Azzalini, A. (1985). *Scand. J. Statist.*
- Balakrishnan, N. (1993). Statist. Probab. Lett.
- Basu, A.P. and Ghosh, J.K. (1978). *J. Multivar. Anal.*
- Bickel, P.J. (1967). *Proc. of 5th Berkeley Symposium*.
- Birnbaum, Z.W. (1950). Ann. Math. Statist.
- Cain, M. (1994). Amer. Statist.
- Cain, M. and Pan, E. (1995). *Math. Scientist*.
- Gonzalez-Farias, G., Dominguez-Molina, A. and Gupta, A.K. (2004). J. Statist. Plann. Inf.
- Gupta, S.S. and Pillai, K.C.S. (1965). *Biometrika*.
- Nagaraja, H.N. (1982). *Biometrika*.

## Bibliography

- Nelson, L.S. (1964). *Technometrics*.
- O'Hagan, A. and Leonard, T. (1976). *Biometrika*.
- Song, R., Buchberger, S.G. and Deddens, J.A. (1992). *Statist. Probab. Lett.*
- Weinstein, M.A. (1964). *Technometrics*.

## **Bibliography (cont.)**

Most pertinent books are:

## **Bibliography (cont.)**

#### Most pertinent books are:

- Arnold, B.C. and Balakrishnan, N. (1989). *Relations, Bounds and Approximations for Order Statistics*, Springer-Verlag, New York.
- Arnold, B.C., Balakrishnan, N. and Nagaraja, H.N. (1992). *A First Course in Order Statistics*, John Wiley & Sons, New York.
- Balakrishnan, N. and Cohen, A.C. (1991). Order Statistics and Inference: Estimation Methods, Academic Press, Boston.
- Balakrishnan, N. and Rao, C.R. (Eds.) (1998a,b). Handbook of Statistics: Order Statistics, Vols. 16 & 17, North-Holland, Amsterdam.
- David, H.A. (1970, 1981). Order Statistics, 1st and 2nd editions, John Wiley & Sons, New York.
- David, H. A. and Nagaraja, H.N. (2003). Order Statistics, 3rd edition, John Wiley & Sons, Hoboken, New Jersey.

Kotz, S., Balakrishnan, N. and Johnson, N.L. (2000). Continuous Multivariate Distributions – Vol. 1, Second edition, John Wiley & Sons, New York.