Sampling problems in branch statistics

Natalia Bokun

Statistics Research Institute, Belarus e-mail: anataliabokun@rambler.ru

Abstract

Problems of introduction of sampling methods in practice of the branch statistics in Belarus are considered. The usage of a combination of univariate and multivariate sampling is offered. The mechanism of multivariate selection is considered.

1 Sampling problems

In Belarus sample survey of the enterprises in economic branches (sectors of economic) started since 1996. Pilot surveys were carried out at the first stage of introduction sampling in statistical practice (1996-2005) by Statistics research institute: survey of small enterprises in retail trade (1998, 1999), survey of enterprises in services (2002), survey of small enterprises (2003). In 2005, problems of multivariate sampling were investigated and the first version of the software was developed, trial multivariate samples of small enterprises were selected. At the second stage (since 2006 until now) quarter multivariate samples of small enterprises and samples in labor statistics are selected, pilot sampling is carried out as experiment for the purpose of surveying the retail turnover.

In spite of the clear advantages of sampling (cheaper, less time and work consuming, reliable) – the survey practice has revealed a number of problems:

Non-responses. The population of small and medium-size enterprises is extremely dynamical: the creation new enterprises, liquidations, changes of kinds of activity and size of the enterprises are taken place constantly. Sampling frame is based on the data of the previous year complete survey, and not responded enterprises can be included into the sample (liquidated, changed a kind of activity, or not presented the questionnaire).

Atypical units (outliers), i.e. presence in the frame of atypical units, inclusion (or not inclusion) of which in a sample strongly influences the estimates of parameters. Atypical units are units, which have extreme values of variables, large sample weight, complex structure.

Samples in small domains. Designing samples of enterprises in branch statistics in regions and Minsk, in some cases is connected with partition of survey population into the small groups and sampling fractions become unacceptably high (50-60%). As a consequence, possibilities of control of an admissible sampling error are problematic.

Problem of the compromise which is arising between the accuracy requirement for various estimates, representative sample in various groups caused by stratification, and restrictions on sample size.

Estimation. The problem of estimation still persist when the univariate stratified sample with admissible standard error and a sampling fraction is built. Raising factors allow to estimate precisely enough values of the parameter which was used for sample selection, but other estimates, which number can reach till 10-30, are of low quality. In the case of multivariate sample, the error for some group of indicators will be in admissible limits (to 10%), but will be considerably above, compare to the case of univariate sample (approximately 4-6%).

The problems of the software are caused by the complexity of mathematical apparatus of sample survey, and the necessity of integration of the programs realising algorithms of these methods in the general system of collection and processing of statistical data.

Specific problems are met designing the multivariate sample (stratified by several variables): complexity of a choice of an optimal way of multivariate selection, complexity of a choice of a leading indicator (variable), technical impossibility of construction of multivariate sample for large population (over 400-500 units), absence of the standard methods of calculation of errors and estimation.

The problems of *non-response* and *atypical units (outliers)* may be solved within univariate sample, the solution is connected with the change of structure of the frame, allocation in separate files of atypical enterprises, use of procedures of reweighing or replacement. Multivariate sampling is used to handle remaining problems, it allows to receive the samples of small size, which are representative for many different parameters of interest.

It is offered by the author to apply a combination of univariate and multivariate methods of selection in order to use advantages of multivariate sampling and reduce its shortages.

2 Univariate sampling

Methods of univariate selection have long history of development, their mechanism of designing is in details developed, ways of parameter estimation cover wide spectrum of the possible approaches, used formulas have got classical character. Stratified sample with simple, proportional and optimal allocation, also serial and systematic sampling is most often used.

The stratified random sample reflects variability of the stratification variable in the surveyed population, allows to build rather homogeneous selection groups, allows to reduce sample size keeping necessary accuracy, gives additional benefits when selection problems in different domains of the population strongly differ.

Systematic samples are convenient for planning and selecting, sometimes they yield more exact results, than stratified. But accuracy of systematic sample may be low if there is an unexpected periodicity in the frame. The use of systematic selection can be recommended, if stratification is planned very poorly, and in the case of two-stage selection (at the second stage), independent systematic selection in separate strata can be used.

Serial sample can be applied, if survey population consists of the isolated (territorial) groups of units. Usually units of one series are close in value of a study variable, and series considerably differ, i.e. value of an inter-serial variance is rather large.

The choice of an optimum way of selection for carrying out of particular survey depends on a survey objective and character of the auxiliary information, namely: degrees of uniformity, the size of survey population, presence of the natural isolated groups, availability of the correlated auxiliary information. It is expedient to approve several sampling designs for the same survey and to choose that from them which gives more precise and unbiased estimates.

3 Multivariate sampling

Despite the variety and ambiguity of treatments of multivariate sampling, it is possible to formulate the general concept and determine types of multivariate sample, on the basis of theoretical results and practical applications.

Multivariate sampling (MS) is a kind of sampling design at which random and systematic sampling of units is carried out, taking into account the features of several quantitative and qualitative variables. Sampling can be made: from the typified frame, which is formed by a combination of qualitative and quantitative variables taking into account their structural features (on the basis of combinational tables); from a multiple frame which elements are organized in two or more frames; by composite, or multi-dimensional variable; on specially developed procedures of selection (lattice sampling). In MS designs each population element is characterized by specific collection of variables (indicators); randomly selected unit simultaneously is the representative of some indicators, and the subset of such units allows fully reflect the properties of studied population. Existing methods of multivariate selection, or kinds of multivariate sampling, can be classified into three integrated groups (Table 1):

1. Stratification by independent variables. Variables are considered absolutely independent, stratification on each of them is done independently, final strata are defined taking into account all received independent strata bounds. Three types of such stratification are considered: selection from the typified frames, selection from a multiple frame, lattice sampling. The first type of stratification: on the basis of methods of optimization and combinatorial analysis, the combinational tables are formed, allowing to receive the ordered allocation of numbers of observation units on the set of the formed combinational blocks. The second type: selection is carried out in the presence of two and more frames (households and blocks of a city; small enterprises in certain territory etc.). The third type of stratification: population is divided to groups by several variables in such a manner that each cell is occupied only by one element or a cluster.

2. Stratification by a composite variable (indicator) assumes the construction of an additional resumptive variable which takes into account the values of several variables, and by which one-dimensional stratification is carried out. Two approaches of construction of a composite variable: usage of the econometric models in the form of certain function; definition of standardized values of a multidimensional indicator.

3. The combined methods combine properties of stratification by independent variables, and stratification by a composite variable. One of combinational approaches is focused on modeling of multivariate sample in the form of a neural network, where investigated population is presented in the form of structural model of groups – random variables – abstract typical observation units, for which quantitative (number employed, the income, production quantity etc.) and attributive variables (the branch, a pattern of ownership etc.) are assigned.

Table $\tilde{1}$ Kinds of multivariate sample

The selection mechanism	Advantages	Drawbacks	References
1. Stratification by independent variables	Stratification by both	Considerable	RSEI Goskomstat of
Survey variables are supposed independent,	types of variables	amount of small	Russia, 1991: territorial
stratification on them is carried out	(quantitative and	groups of weak	samples of the population;
independently, in final groups all received	qualitative), the	fullness,	(RSEI Goskomstat of
independent bounds are considered	particularity of	complicated and	Russia), 1996-1997:
1.1. Selection from typified frames	separate variables is	time-consuming	sample of households;
Construction of one or several combinational	taken into account	procedure of	RSEI Goskomstat of
tables on a population, the numbers of		modeling	Russia, 1995: survey of the
population elements are allocated to the cells of			financial and economic
combinational tables, the probability sample,			activity of SE; Rosstat:
multistage or cluster sample of units from the			survey on the structure of
typified frames is selected			the labor cost (since 1996),
			employment survey (since
			1995), surveys of SE (since
			1996)
1.2. Selection from two-dimensional or			Jessan R., Methods of
multiple frame			statistical surveys, 1985;
Population elements are allocated in 2 or more			Cochran W., Sampling
frames; the frames can be full or incomplete; a			Techniques, 1976 (Russian
special case — the typified frames (analogue of			ed.); Mahalanobis G. CH.,
different frames — grouping of units by			Sample surveys in India.
different variables)			1958;
1.3. Lattice sampling			Patterson, 1985; Jessan,
Selection is carried out under the "lattice"			1985; Yates, 1971;
scheme: if there is a square with the side <i>p</i> ,			Delenius, 1957
divided on p^2 unit squares, sample of size p is			
selected in such a way that one unit square from			
each row and one from each column is being			
selected. The grouping by 2, 3, and more			
variables is possible			
2. Selection by a composite variable	Use of advantages of	Impossibility to	Rosstat: Survey on the
One-dimensional stratification is carried out by	univariate selection;	consider	distribution of the number
a composite variable	simplicity of an	simultaneously	of employees by the wage
2.1. Econometrical models	algorithm of selection;		size (since 2001)
The multivariate variable is used as an	optimization of	attributive	
independent variable of the econometrical	stratification bounds is		
models	carried out once;	applicability to	
2.2. Standardized multidimensional variable	possibility of	rather	
Standardized value of a multidimensional	correction of weights	homogeneous	
$\sum P_{ii}$ where P_{ii} a value	of elements in	variables,	
variable: $\overline{P_{ij}} = \frac{\sum P_{ij}}{k}$, where P_{ij} - a value	structural model of	conventionality	
	sample	of a multivariate	
of the <i>j</i> -th variable component for <i>i</i> th unit, $\overline{P_{ij}}$		indicator,	
– standardized value of <i>j</i> th variable component		individual	
for <i>i</i> th unit. Ways of stadardization:		indicators are not	
•		well represented	
$P_{ij} = x_{ij} / \overline{x_{ij}}; P_{ij} = (x_{ij} - \overline{x_j}) / \delta_{xj};$			
$P_{i} = x_{i} / x_{i} \cdot P = \frac{(x_{ij} - x_{j})}{e^{i x_{ij}}}$ etc.			
$P_{ij} = x_{ij} / x_{jmax}; P_{ij} = \frac{(x_{ij} - \overline{x_j})}{x_{jmax} - x_{jmin}}$ etc.			
<i></i>	I	1	Continued

Continued

The selection mechanism	Advantages	Drawbacks	References
3. Combined multivariate sampling	The features of	Potential	Krasnoyarsk regional
The multidimensional approaches described in	separate independent	inadequacy	committee of state
items 1 and 2 of this table are combined	indicators is taken into	learning data	statistics, 1999: Surveying
	account; selection is	(learning models)	of small enterprises;
3.1. Sampling using neural network	carried out on a	to real situation;	Stepanov S.V., 2004
Surveyed population is represented as structural	conditional	complexity of the	
model of groups of abstract typical observation	multivariate combination of	software,	
units, for which a collection of indicators is	indicators	necessity of integration with	
prescribed. Each of prescribed indicators vary in	mulcators	existing system	
certain interval. Elements of model (network) –		of the statistical	
neurons; criteria of formation of neuron –		data processing	
objective indicators of elements and preferences			
of the statistician; an initial database – statistical			
register, a selection method – imitating			
modeling		~	
3.2. Sample using cluster analysis	It is considered	Complexity of	Republic of Belarus,
Population is partitioned using cluster analysis	specific of separate	choice of optimal	Statistics Research
(agglomerative hierarchical, iterative method of	signs in aggregate	method of cluster	Institute: Surveying of
<i>k</i> -means) in clusters. In each group, random or	with formation of	analysis,	small enterprises (2005-
systematic selection of units, by a leading	clusters, homogeneous	technical	2006); Survey on wages
(main) variable, is performed. Additional	population signs;	impossibility	and salaries by profession
stratification of the enterprises in cluster by a	usage of a standard cluster's methods,	clustering in big	and occupation (2006-
leading variable can be maid		populations (over 400 units)	2007); Survey on
	high degree of reliability	400 units)	distribution of employees by wages (2007-2008);
	Tenauliny		Minstat RB: quarter
			sample of SE (since 2006)
			sample of SE (Since 2000)

Values of variables of the particular enterprise vary in certain intervals, not covering all spectrum of sizes from a minimum of all population to a maximum. Criteria of formation of elements of such a network, neurons, reflect a combination of objective characteristics of investigated objects and preferences of the statistician. As data for learning, the information on elements from the statistical register is used. The data of any of samples, selected by offered model, is shifted in time with respect to auxiliary information used. Imitating modeling is used for the selection of units. The author offers simpler variant of the combined multivariate sample, – its modeling by cluster analysis. According to this approach surveyed population is partitioned using the agglomerative hierarchical method of cluster analysis on homogeneous groups. In each received group the basic (leading) variable is determined, and subsequent casual or systematic selection of units in sample is carried out. If for the leading indicator the coefficient of variation exceeds 50%, additional stratification inside cluster is used. For each indicator the standard sample error is calculated. If the error exceeds admissible bounds, three methods of its reduction may be applied: increasing the sample size in cluster; additional stratification of the enterprises in cluster by a leading variable; repetition of the process of clustering, possibly using the same method of clustering as earlier, but with

larger number of steps, or using of an iterative method with the preliminary number of clusters r > l.

The analysis of possible methods of selection of multivariate sample allows to draw a conclusion, that stratification by independent indicators can lead to excessively large number of groups, stratification by a composite variable does not guarantee that dynamics of level and variation of a composite variable will be proportional to dynamics of level and variation of the initial indicators, the combined methods are difficult enough and work-consuming. As optimal procedure of specifying of sampling design we consider the procedure which would provide for the statistician the possibility of a choice sampling design, depending the population size of aggregate, number and character of considered variables. Therefore, it is offered to apply a collection of multivariate methods representing each of considered groups: selection from the typified frames; stratification by a composite variable; modeling the sample by cluster analysis.

The experience of construction of samples in Belarus branch statistics on the basis of a combination of univariate and multivariate methods of sampling, has shown:

– recommended sampling fraction of the enterprises in the survey of small business, for wages – 20-30%, a relative error for republic and regions does not exceed 2%, and for branches – 5-6%; for survey in retail trade a sampling fraction – 10-13%, relative error for republic does not exceed 1-1,5%, and for regions – do not exceed 4%;

– optimal and simple random stratification is most efficient among the methods of univariate sampling; methods of cluster analysis are the most comprehensible on degree of reliability and availability to the user, among multivariate methods.